STA 6166 – Exam 3 – Fall 2016 PRINT Name					
Conduct all Tests at $\alpha = 0.05$ significance level.					
Q.1. An experiment is conducted to compare 6 styles of keyboards in terms of comfort ratings for people who are professional computer programmers. A random sample of 5 programmers is obtained, and each programmer uses each keyboard in random order and assigns each keyboard a comfort rating. Give the sources of variation, their degrees of freedom and the critical F-value to test for differences among the population mean comfort ratings of the keyboard styles.					
Source	Degrees of Freedom	F(0.05)			
suffer from a particular ad	verse event. Based on a study with	of all potential users of a new drug being marketed that will a sample of 800 subjects, 120 suffered from this adverse a proportion of all potential users who would suffer from this			
·		L athletes, the rank sums of their BMIs are: al-Wallis test to test H_0 : $M_{NHL} = M_{NBA} = M_{EPL}$			
Test Statistic	Rejection H ₀ if	the Test Statistic			

Q.4. An experiment compared 4 navigational techniques (CPB, DPB, ENCC, and G&C) for utilizing web-based maps. The experiment had 18 subjects, where each subject used each of the navigational techniques, and was conducted as a Randomized Complete Block Design. The response measured was the time it took for the subject to complete the task.

p.4.a. Complete the following ANOVA table for testing whether there are significant differences among the true mean completion times among the navigational techniques. H_0 : $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = 0$

Source	df	SS	MS	F	F(.05)	Reject H0?
Trts (NavTechs)		23362				
Blocks (Subjects)		18500)	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A
Error				#N/A	#N/A	#N/A
Total		90567	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A

p.4.b. The means for the 4 treatments are $y_1 = 177.9$ $y_2 = 165.0$ $y_3 = 133.3$ $y_4 = 140.8$ Use Tukey's method to determine which pairs of treatments have significantly different means.

Tukey's W:	Trt3	Trt4	Trt2	Trt1

p.4.c. Compute the Relative Efficiency of the Randomized Block Design (relative to the Completely Randomized Design). How many subjects would be needed per treatment (and overall) to have the same precision of estimates of the Navigation Technique means?

Relative Efficiency	# Subjects per treatment	Total # of Subjects

Label Only Randomize	, and Calorie	e Label Plus In he sample mea	formation).	There were	$n_i = 30$ people with	estaurant (No Calorie I in each condition in a ons in terms of Y = To	Completely
$\bar{y}_{1.} = 180$	$\overline{y}_{2.} = 290$	$\overline{y}_{3.} = 180$	$s_1 = 310,$	$s_2 = 390$	$s_3 = 310$		
					grees of freedom, an	nd Mean Square.	
SSB =		df _B =	=		MSB =		
p.5.b. Con	npute the Wi	thin Treatment	t sum of squ	ares, its deg	rees of freedom and	Mean Square.	
SSW =		dfw	=		MSW =		
Test H ₀ : μ ₁	$=\mu_2=\mu_3$ v	ersus H _A : Not	t all μ _i are eα	qual			
Test Statist	ic		Rejecti	on Region _		P-value > 0.05	or < 0.05

Q.6. A study measured distance covered among a sample of 5 University level soccer players (blocks) on 3 soccer field sizes (treatments) in simulated games. The field sizes were: 30x20m, 40x30m, and 50x40m. The data are given below. Use Friedman's test to determine whether population medians differ among the 3 field sizes.

Player	Size1	Size2	Size3
1	1288	1748	1696
2	1705	2105	2167
3	1141	1558	1493
4	1340	1755	1748
5	1573	1963	2036

Test Statistic:	Rejection Region:

Q.7. A manufacturing company is considering buying components from one of two potential firms. They are interested in comparing the true defective proportions of components for the 2 firms (π_1 and π_2). They obtain random samples of $n_1 = n_2 = 500$ components from each firm. The numbers of components not meeting specification are $y_1 = 100$ for firm 1 and $y_2 = 80$ for firm 2. Test whether there is evidence to conclude that either firm has a higher/lower true defective proportion than the other firm? H_0 : $\pi_1 - \pi_2 = 0$ H_A : $\pi_1 - \pi_2 \neq 0$