STA 4702/5701 - Spring 2017 - Exam 2 PRTNT Name

## Note: Conduct all tests at at $\alpha=\mathbf{0 . 0 5}$ significance level. SHOW ALL WORK.

Q.1. An experiment is conducted where the effects of office wall color and lighting type are observed on productivity of office workers for $p=3$ productivity measures. There are 4 wall colors, and 3 lighting types, and a sample of 120 workers is randomized so that 10 receive each combimation of wall color and lighting type. They are also interested in whether the wall color effects differ by lighting type and vice versa. Give the ANOVA table with all sources of variation, their degrees of freedom and the dimension of the SSCP matrix. Note that each individual worker receives only one condition.

Source
df
dimension of SSCP matrix
Q.2. An experiment is conducted to assess the effect of 2 types of kayak paddles. A group of 20 kayakists is obtained, and each kayakist uses each paddle (in random order, in the same kayak). Two responses are measured: Time to complete the course $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)$ and a subjective measurement of the comfort of the paddle $\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right)$. Which test is appropriate: Paired Comparison or Independent Samples? Regardless of your answer, what is the Rejection Region for the $\mathrm{T}^{2}$ statistic for your method?

Method $\qquad$ Rejection Region $\qquad$
Q.3. Quadratic growth curves are fit, relating shrinkage of fabric exposed to one of two types of treatment. For the 2 treatments, measurements of shrinkage are made at $0,1,2$, and 3 cycles. There were 10 fabric pieces in each treatment. The fitted growth curves are. Treatment 1: $6+4 t-t^{2} \quad$ Treatment 2: $10+5 t-2 t^{2}$

Give the fitted growth curves at each number of cycles based on the models.

| Cycles | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trt1 |  |  |  |  |
| Trt2 |  |  |  |  |

Q.4. A study was conducted comparing attitudes of individuals toward robots for samples of $n_{C}=16$ Chinese and $n_{G}=16$ German subjects. The 3 responses measured were: Credibility ( $\mathrm{i}=1$ ), Likability ( $\mathrm{i}=2$ ), and Trust ( $\mathrm{i}=3$ ) toward robots. All scales were averages of responses to statements on 1-7 scales, so can be considered to be measured on comparable scales. The goal is to compare the profiles of the 2 cultures versus the responses. Means are given below.

| Culture | Credibility | Likability | Trust |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chinese | 4.08 | 4.87 | 4.84 |
| German | 3.24 | 3.57 | 3.95 |

p.4.a. We wish to test for parallel profiles for the 2 cultures:
$H_{01}: \mu_{C 2}-\mu_{C 1}=\mu_{G 2}-\mu_{G 1}, \mu_{C 3}-\mu_{C 1}=\mu_{G 3}-\mu_{G 1} \quad$ where: $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{C}=\left[\begin{array}{l}\mu_{C 1} \\ \mu_{C 2} \\ \mu_{C 3}\end{array}\right] \quad \boldsymbol{\mu}_{G}=\left[\begin{array}{l}\mu_{G 1} \\ \mu_{G 2} \\ \mu_{G 3}\end{array}\right] \quad \mathbf{C}_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}-1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right]$
$H_{01}: \mathbf{C}_{1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{C}=\mathbf{C}_{1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{G} \Rightarrow \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{C}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{G}\right)=0 \quad$ Compute $\left(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{C}-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{G}\right)$ and $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}}\left(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{C}-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{G}\right)$
$\left(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{C}-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{G}\right)=$

$$
\mathbf{C}_{1}\left(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{C}-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{G}\right)=
$$

p.4.b. $\left[\left(\frac{1}{n_{C}}+\frac{1}{n_{G}}\right) \mathbf{C}_{1} \mathbf{S}_{\text {pooled }} \mathbf{C}_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right]^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}619.9 & -220.6 \\ -220.6 & 590.8\end{array}\right] \quad$ Compute the $\mathrm{T}^{2}$ statistic and give the rejection region.

Test Statistic $\qquad$
$\qquad$ P -value $>$ or < 0.05 ?
Q.5. A study compared 3 groups (normal weight, overweight, and obese) in terms of how each person estimated calories for 2 types of meals (healthy and unhealthy). Summary data are given below.

Model: $\mathbf{X}_{l j}=\boldsymbol{\mu}+\boldsymbol{\tau}_{l}+\mathbf{e}_{l j} \quad l=1, \ldots, g ; j=1, \ldots, n_{l} \quad \mathbf{X}_{l j}=\left[\begin{array}{c}X_{l j 1} \\ \vdots \\ X_{l j p}\end{array}\right] \quad \boldsymbol{\mu}=\left[\begin{array}{c}\mu_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \mu_{p}\end{array}\right] \quad \boldsymbol{\tau}_{l}=\left[\begin{array}{c}\tau_{l 1} \\ \vdots \\ \tau_{l p}\end{array}\right]$

| Group | n | hmean | uhmean |  | B |  |  | W |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 Normal | 60 | 134.66 | 241.98 |  | 7924684 | 18363.68 |  | 573363.2 | 749868.6 |
| 2 Overweight | 45 | 116.7 | 244.31 |  | 18363.68 | 32668.6 |  | 749868.6 | 2236406 |
| 3 Obese | 36 | 96.81 | 218.93 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 141 |  |  |  | $\|W\| / 1 E 10$ | $\|\mathrm{~B}+\mathrm{W}\| / 1 \mathrm{E} 10$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 71.99698 | 1869.252 |  |  |  |

where: $\quad \mathbf{B}=\sum_{l=1}^{g} n_{l}\left(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{l}-\overline{\mathbf{x}}\right)\left(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{l}-\overline{\mathbf{x}}\right)^{\prime} \quad \mathbf{W}=\sum_{l=1}^{g} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{l}}\left(\mathbf{x}_{l j}-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{l}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}_{l j}-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{l}\right)^{\prime} \quad \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{l}134.66 \\ 241.98\end{array}\right]$
p.5.a. Give the following values:
$g=\ldots \quad N=\ldots \quad \Lambda^{*}=\ldots \quad \ln \left(\Lambda^{*}\right)=$ $\qquad$
p.5.b. Conduct the exact F-test (assuming normality) for testing $H_{0}: \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}=\ldots=\boldsymbol{\tau}_{g}=0$

Test Statistic: $\qquad$
$\qquad$ p -value > or < 0.05
p.5.c. We wish to make (Bonferroni) simultaneous comparisons among all pairs of healthy means among groups, and all pairs of unhealthy means among groups. How many pairs of means are there? Give the simultaneous CI comparing healthy meal means between Normal ( $\mathrm{i}=1$ ) and Overweight ( $\mathrm{i}=2$ ) subjects.
\# Of comparisons $\qquad$ Simultaneous CI $\qquad$
Q.6. A linear regression model is fit, relating April mean Temperature ( Y ) to Latitude $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{1}\right)$, Longitude $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{2}\right)$, and Elevation $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{3}\right)$ to a sample of $\mathrm{n}=50$ weather stations in Texas. The partial Analysis of Variance and parameter estimates are given below. $Y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} Z_{1}+\beta_{2} Z_{2}+\beta_{3} Z_{3}+\varepsilon \quad \varepsilon \sim \operatorname{NID}\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$

| ANOVA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $d f$ | SS | MS | Coefficientsandard Err |  |  |
| Regression | 3 | 910.4479 | 303.4826 | Intercept | 54.16177 | 21.60857 |
| Residual | 46 | 66.93629 | 1.455137 | LAT | -1.48478 | 0.135253 |
| Total | 49 | 977.3842 |  | LONG | 0.61018 | 0.193845 |
|  |  |  |  | ELEV | -0.00216 | 0.000531 |

p.6.a. Test whether Mean April temperature is associated with either Latitude, Longitude, and Elevation.
$\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : $\qquad$ Test Statistic: $\qquad$ Rejection Region: $\qquad$
p.6.b. Test whether, after accounting for Latitude and Elevation, Mean April temperature is associated with Longitude.
$\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : $\qquad$ Test Statistic: $\qquad$ Rejection Region: $\qquad$
Q.7. The anthropometric study of the Kanets of Lahoul and Kulu, measured Sitting Height ( $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ ), Kneeling Height ( $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ ), and Cubit ( $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ ) among random samples of $\mathrm{n}_{1}=30$ Lahouls and $\mathrm{n}_{2}=60$ Kanets. Summary calculations are below.

| Spooled |  |  | Xbar1 | Xbar2 | $\operatorname{INV}((1 / \mathrm{n} 1+1 / \mathrm{n} 2) \mathrm{Sp})$ |  |  | INV((1/n1+1/n2)Sp)*(xb1-xb2) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9.92 | 7.56 | 2.29 | 86.17 | 86.55 | 3.29 | -1.51 | -0.52 | 2.59 |  |
| 7.56 | 15.14 | 3.84 | 120.23 | 122.57 | -1.51 | 2.56 | -1.93 | -4.17 |  |
| 2.29 | 3.84 | 3.31 | 44.66 | 45.30 | -0.52 | -1.93 | 8.64 | -0.83 |  |

p.7.a. We want to test whether the population mean vectors are the same for each of the 2 populations. $\mathrm{H}_{0}: \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}=0$. Compute the Test Statistic and Rejection Region for this test.

Test Statistic: $\qquad$ Rejection Region: $\qquad$ p -value > or < 0.05
p.7.b. We want simultaneous Confidence Intervals for differences in the population means for each of the 3 measures. Compute the Confidence Intervals based on the Simultaneous (all linear functions) and Bonferroni methods for Cubit (make adjustments so these would hold if you did this for all 3 measures).
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

