

ANOVA Table

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	Expected Mean Square
Treatment	SSTR	$r - 1$	$\frac{SSTR}{r-1}$	$\sigma^2 + \frac{\sum n_i(\mu_i - \mu_{.})^2}{r-1}$
Error	SSE	$n_T - r$	$\frac{SSE}{n_T - r}$	σ^2
Total	SSTO	$n_T - 1$		

Kenton Food Company

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	P
Treatment	588.22	3	196.07		
Error	158.2	15	10.55		
Total	746.42	18			

$$F_{obs} = \frac{MSTR}{MSE} = \frac{196.07}{10.55}$$

$$= 18.58$$

a little off
due to rounding

P-value of the F test is area to the right of the observed statistic F_{obs} .

Ex: Kenton Food Company P-value = $P(F_{3,15} > 18.591)$

Null distr
 F_{r-1, n_T-r}

```
> 1 - pf(18.591, df1=3, df2=15)
[1] 2.585007e-05
```

Since $P < .05$, reject $H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \mu_4$ at level $\alpha = .05$. Conclude that at least two of the package designs differ in number of packages sold on average.

F Test with pre-set Type I error probability α

For $\alpha = .05$ first find the .95 quantile of the appropriate F distribution.

```
> qf(.95, df1=3, df2=15)
[1] 3.287382
```

Decision Rule: For a level $\alpha = .05$ test, we will reject H_0 if $F_{\text{obs}} > 3.288$

critical region

Factor Effects Model (Section 16.7) *Same one-way model as cell means model. Different formulation.*

$$Y_{ij} = \mu_i + \tau_i + \epsilon_{ij}, \quad i = 1, \dots, r; \quad j = 1, \dots, n_i$$

where:

$$\mu_i = \mu + \tau_i \Rightarrow \tau_i = \mu_i - \mu$$

- ▶ μ is the “overall mean,” more accurately described as a “constant component common to all observations”
- ▶ τ_i is the effect of the i^{th} factor level
- ▶ the errors, ϵ_{ij} , are independent $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$

Usually take μ to be the unweighted mean of the factor level means:

$$\mu = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^r \mu_i}{r}$$

This leads to the constraint on the τ_i 's:

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \tau_i = 0 \quad / \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{because } \sum \tau_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r (\mu_i - \mu) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

The *factor-effects model* is a different formulation of the one-way ANOVA model, that is, it looks different from the cell-means model, but it is the **same model**.

We will use the unweighted mean for the parameter $\mu_{.}$.

Weighted mean is sometimes appropriate:

1. A car rental company is interested in average fuel consumption for a population of vehicles which has 80 percent compacts, 15 percent mid-sized and 5 percent vans.

Let μ_1 = mean fuel consumption for the population of compacts, μ_2 = mean fuel consumption for the population of mid-sized vehicles, and μ_3 = mean fuel consumption for the population of vans.

The relevant parameter is $.8\mu_1 + .15\mu_2 + .05\mu_3$.

2. If random samples were used, weights proportional to sample size would make sense.

CAUTION: Recall the formula for $E(\text{MSTR})$: *from Lec 14*

$$E(\text{MSTR}) = \sigma^2 + \frac{\sum n_i(\mu_i - \mu_{.})^2}{r - 1}$$

where $\mu_{.}$ is the weighted mean with weights proportional to the number of observations in the group (n_i).

This is a different definition of $\mu_{.}$.

Keep straight which $\mu_{.}$ to use from the situation.

Show $\sum_{i=1}^r \tau_i = 0$.

TAKE 1 - won't work in the end

Linear model approach to factor effects model Example $r = 4, n = 2$

observations per group

Parameter vector:

Set up ingredients for equation

$$E\tilde{Y} = X\tilde{\beta}$$

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \mu. \\ \tau_1 \\ \tau_2 \\ \tau_3 \\ \tau_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

Design matrix:

dummy var., Group 1
↓ 2 3 4

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let $\underline{c}_i = i$ th column,
vector of length 8

Note:

$$\underline{c}_1 = \underline{c}_2 + \underline{c}_3 + \underline{c}_4 + \underline{c}_5$$

The design matrix is **not full rank**

The design matrix is **not full rank**

Recall, this means that one of the columns can be expressed as a linear combination of the other columns; for example,

TAKE 2 - WILL WORK

Linear model approach to factor effects model

We will take the approach of solving for one of the τ 's in terms of the others. In the example, take $\tau_4 = -\tau_1 - \tau_2 - \tau_3$

$$\sum_{i=1}^4 \tau_i = 0$$

Parameter vector:

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \mu. \\ \tau_1 \\ \tau_2 \\ \tau_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Design matrix:

$$X \beta$$

$$X \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu. \\ \tau_1 \\ \tau_2 \\ \tau_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{matrix} \mu. + \tau_1 \\ \mu. + \tau_1 \\ \mu. + \tau_2 \\ \mu. + \tau_2 \\ \mu. + \tau_3 \\ \mu. + \tau_3 \\ \mu. - \tau_1 - \tau_2 - \tau_3 \\ \mu. - \tau_1 - \tau_2 - \tau_3 \end{matrix}$$

Exercise (1) Cell means model
for a case w/ $r=3$ $n=2$

$\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1} X'Y$ is the LS. estimate.

Show $\hat{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{Y}_{1.} \\ \bar{Y}_{2.} \\ \bar{Y}_{3.} \end{pmatrix}$

where $\bar{Y}_{i.} = \frac{Y_{i1} + Y_{i2}}{2}$.

(2) Do same thing for factor-effects model.